

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,930	10/25/2006	David L. Wiesemann	380201.00002	6684	
	7590 09/20/2007 RRADVIIP		EXAMINER		
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			WILSON, LEE D		
SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE	, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3723		
	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/566,930		WIESEMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	LEE D. WILSON	with the correspondence add	Iross	
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence add		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-31 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14, 16-23, 26-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15,24 and 25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Theorem T	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/06.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/566,930

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claims 1-28 in the reply filed on 8/7/07 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is not on a separate page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 7, line 3 recites "it" and the limitations must be positively recited. This claim is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/566,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3, 18-19, 22-23, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagy (5588613).

Nagy discloses a fish reel assembly having a housing (2), a handle (54), a pistol grip (40), and a fish tape (4&6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagy (5588613) in view of Keene et al (4573829),
 - Nagy discloses the claimed invention except for a convex palm rest and a convex finger grip.
 - c. Keene et al discloses a fish reel assembly having a convex palm rest and a convex finger grip (12) which renders the claim obvious when modifiying the Nagy device because the substitution of one known

Application/Control Number: 10/566,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 12-14, 16-18, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagy (5588613) in view of Atencio (6722603).
 - d. Nagy discloses the claimed invention except for three grips.
 - e. Keene et al discloses a fish reel assembly having three grips (fig.20) which renders the claim obvious when modifiying the Nagy device because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagy (5588613) in view of Cielker et al (5810277).
 - f. Nagy discloses the claimed invention except for a hanger.
 - g. Cielker et al discloses a fish reel assembly having a hanger (fig.20) which renders the claim obvious when modifiying the Nagy device because all of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their functions the combination would have yielded predictable results to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/566,930

Art Unit: 3723

11. Claims 15 and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The 892 form discloses prior art being made of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,930

Art Unit: 3723

Ldw

September 11, 2007

Page 6

LEED.WILSON PRIMARY CV.

LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER